ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300 Adelaide 5067 Australia Mob: 61+401692057

Online ISSN 1440-9828



Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: http://www.adelaideinstitute.org

March 2011 No 552

From: Germar Rudolf <u>germar.r@btinternet.com</u> Sent: Tuesday, 22 February 2011 4:13 AM

Subject: Lifesign

<u>Public Email, further dissemination permitted</u> Germar Rudolf, somewhere in Mexico, Feb. 21, 2011

Dear friends, dear supporters:

Ever since I left England end of August last year, I've basically kept radio silence, for which I ask for understanding and forgiveness. I owe you my gratitude for your continued moral and in a few cases also generous financial support, which are very important to me.

Please permit me to tell you about my late odyssey, which might make it understandable why contact has been severed for the past six months.

On August 31 I traveled to Latin America hoping that my application for permanent legal residence in the US ("greencard"), which I had filed in late 2009, would soon be adjudicated positively, so that I could join my wife and daughter in the U.S.

As a reminder: When I was arrested and deported from the U.S. in November 2005, I was banned to return to the U.S. for five years. My motion to have this ban lifted early, which I had filed in the summer of 2009, has not been decided to this day. Although my application for a "greencard" was processed by the U.S. Consulate in Frankfurt, Germany, in April 2010, it was not finally adjudicated due to the still pending ban. I was told to get in touch with the consulate after the ban had either been lifted or expired. Since the ban expired in mid November 2010, I traveled to northwest Mexico in expectation of a decision.

On getting in touch, the consulate informed us on Nov. 15, 2010, that they would need five workdays to finally adjudicate my application, after which they would get in touch.

Since we still hadn't heard anything after eight workdays, we inquired again. In early Decem-ber 2010 we were told that there had been a delay due to a change of staff. They apologized and promised to wrap up the case within the next 14 days at the latest.

After two more weeks we again had heard nothing from the consulate. Repeated inquires remained unanswered. As a result, Christmas for the Rudolf family fell through. I spent it separated from my family in Mexico... End of December 2010 the consulate finally got back to us and apologized once more for the long delay, yet explained this time that the case was so complicated that they could not even give an estimate as to how long it will take to adjudicate it. A formal inquiry filed shortly afterwards about the state of affairs revealed in early February that my application is no longer dealt with by the consulate in Frankfurt, but that it is now in Washington, where it is subjected to lengthy security screenings.

Considering that I have been an open book to the U.S. authorities ever since my asylum case was initiated in 2000, nothing justifies this lengthy "security screening." After careful consideration and intensive consultation we therefore decided to go back to court. On January 31, 2011, we filed a "Writ of Mandamus" against the U.S. government, asking a Federal Court to force the government to render a decision. The government has 60 days to respond to this suit, after which the court will either dismiss the case in lack of jurisdiction or will decide it rather quickly (assuming that water doesn't flow uphill...). By the end of April/early May we hope to know more about our

future. However, all the court can do is force the U.S. government to decide somehow. In case this happens, we now expect them to turn down my application for some bogus reason.

Since my Mexican tourist visa waiver will expire end of February, I have to leave the country. I will temporarily live with friends in Central America. Should there be no silver lining at the horizon by the end of April/early May, I will return to Europe. Since I am prohibited to be a spouse to my wife and a father to my daughter, I will at least be a father to the children from my first marriage by temporarily returning to Germany.

Meanwhile my wife prepares herself morally to leave the U.S. permanently this coming summer. She can no longer bear the stress of a career, of raising our daughter as a single mother and of running a household without any support. We will probably settle permanently in England, provided that my wife obtains a permission to immigrate. For this I have to prove according to British law that I am capable of supporting my family – which I cannot do currently, as I am formally seen homeless and jobless.

Marital and family bliss are apparently meant only for rich people in England... I've been out of prison for more than one and a half year now , but I am still separated from wife and child, with no end in sight. It feels like I am still a prisoner. (Not to mention that my lawyer bill for January alone amounted to 7,701 US dollars straight.) You can imagine how I feel

Since I will probably be traveling during the next three months, email is the only realistic way of contacting me. If you want to support my family and me financially, you can find details below about how this can be done.

With kind regards Germar Rudolf

Donations - Spenden

Credit Card – Kreditkarte Currently not possible – Zur Zeit nicht möglich

Bank Transfer – Überweisung

Account holder:	Germar Rudolf	:Kontoinhaber
Bank name:	HSBC Bank	:Bank
IBAN*:	GB57MIDL40200571299948	:IBAN*
BIC°:	MIDLGB2129U	:BIC°
Bank address:	94 Terminus Road Eastbourne BN21 3ND Great Britain	:Bankanschrift

Note: Acc. to European law, electronic bank transfers of EURO amounts to banks in any member country of the European Union have to be made at the identical conditions as domestic electronic bank transfers. So if domestic bank transfers are free of charge in your own country, they also have to be free of charge when made to an account in the UK. This applies even though the UK is not part of the EURO zone, as long as the transfered amount is in EURO. Incoming EURO transfers will be exchanged to British Pounds on arrival automatically with no fees and at an excellent rate. So if you have a bank account in a EU country, take advantage of this law for EURO transfers to this British bank account by using standard EURO transfer forms offered by your European bank (they usually look a little different than domestic transfer forms).

Anmerkung: Europäische Recht schreibt vor, daß EURO-Überweisungen an Banken in Mitgliedsländern der EU zu Bedingungen erfolgen Inlandsüberweisungen. Wenn Inlandsüberweisungen in Ihrem Land kostenfrei sind, so müssen sie ebenso kostenfrei sein, wenn sie an ein Konto in Großbritannien erfolgen. Die gilt, obwohl Großbritannien nicht Teil der EURO-Zone ist, solange der überwiesene Betrag in EURO ist. Eingehende EURO-Überweisungen werden bei Ankunft automatisch in Britische Pfund umgetauscht ohne Gebühr und mit sehr günstigem Wechselkurs. Falls Sie also in der EU ein Bankkonto haben, nutzen Sie dieses europäische Gesetz für günstige EURO-Überweisungen an diese Bankkonto, indem Sie dafür EU-Standardüberweisungsformulare verwenden, die Ihre Abnk dafür anbietet (dies sehen üblicherweise etwas anders aus als Formulare für Inlandsüberweisungen.

Cash, Checks, Money Orders- Bar & Schecks

address given below.

Checks & Money Orders: Having bank account both in the UK and the U.S., Germar can accept checks and money orders drawn on banks from both countries. Please send checks and money orders drawn on U.S. bank accounts to the below UK address as well. They will be forwarded to Germar's wife, who will deposite them into Rudolf's U.S. bank.

Since Germar has no bank account in Germany or any other country of the Euro zone, Euro checks can be deposited only with hefty fees (ca. €20.- per check).

For privacy reasons, the street address of hier nicht angegeben. Rudolf's family in the U.S. will not be given here.

Cash: Germar Rudolf accepts all currencies, but Bar: Germar Rudolf akzeptiert alle Währungen, prefers EUR, GBP, and USD. Please send bigger bevorzugt jedoch EUR, GBP und USD. Bitte senden Sie amounts of cash by registered mail only to the größere Beträge nur als Einschreiben an unten stehende Anschrift.

> **Schecks:** Da Germar sowohl in England als auch in den USA Bankkonten hat, kann er Schecks von Banken beider Länder annehmen. Bitte senden Sie US-Schecks ebenfalls an die britische Adresse. Sie werden an Germars Ehefrau weitergeleitet, die sie dann in sein US-Bankkonto deponiert.

> Da Germar kein Bankkonto in Deutschland oder einem anderen Land der Euro-Zone hat, können Euro-Schecks leider nur mit heftigen Gebühren eingereicht werden (ca. €20.- pro Scheck).

> Zur Wahrung ihrer Privatsphäre wird die Wohnanschrift von Rudolfs Familie in den USA

Germar Rudolf, PO Box 118, Hastings TN34 1YL, UK

Robert FAURISSON to Deborah Lipstadt

Mrs Deborah LIPSTADT **Occidental College Eagle Rock** Los Angeles, California 90041

28 June 1989

Dear Mrs Lipstadt,

I received you yesterday from noon to 6 pm. I am afraid it was not worth the while.

On my table, right in front of you, I had (in your dossier, which I did not open in your presence) the paper that you presented at the Oxford Conference in July 1988. In this paper you clearly say that the revisionists are liars, denying established facts by antisemitism. For you, revisionism is a subtle form of antisemitism. In California, you teach the history of antisemitism and, for you, Faurisson as well as Butz and others are some sort of antisemite that a good teacher of your kind cannot ignore. Those views are perhaps rather simple but I think that they inspired most of the questions that you put to me. You spoke very little, but enough anyway to show what you had in mind.

What you had (and have) in mind is this:

- 1. The genocide and the gas chambers are established facts;
- 2. The revisionists deny those established facts;
- 3. I have to find the motives of those revisionists for behaving in such a way.

And you are normally prone to believe, as many people do, that the motives are essentially those of antisemitism.

I agree with you that, when a person denies an established fact, there is something wrong with that person but, precisely in the case of the genocide and the gas chambers, are we facing "established facts"?

Let us suppose for one minute that they are NOT established facts but only, as I kept repeating to you, religious beliefs. In that case, don't you think that the motive of those revisionists might be the most simple one that you can imagine, which is to say spontaneously: "The King is naked!"? Is it not normal, when you see that something is wrong, to say that it is wrong? As we say in French, why are you "looking for the midday sun at two o'clock"?

Now, don't get me wrong! I know that in the mind of the man who shouts "The King is naked!" there might be ALSO some other feelings, impulses, passions, etc. Maybe he does not like the King, maybe he hates the courtiers, maybe he is pleased to find himself as a trouble maker but all those things are peripheral since the first, the essential and the central motive is the FACT that the king was naked.

You must begin at the beginning. When Arno J. Mayer writes: "Sources for the study of the gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable", should you not wonder if this is not true before deciding that it is untrue and before looking for the "why" of this "untruthfulness"?

I noticed that you did not seem to know very much about the layout of the concentration camps and their gas chambers. As I told you, this is sadly the case of nearly all the exterminationists and of too many of the revisionists. Most of them are what I call "paper historians". They have been at school all their life and they are used to thinking that papers are more than material items. A man like Ditlieb Felderer, knowing Auschwitz better than I know Vichy, is superior to any historian as far as Auschwitz, center of the "Holocaust" question, is concerned. You should go and visit carefully those camps and those so-called gas chambers. You need one second to see in the "gas chamber" of Krema-I the ridiculous little door with its window; you need two seconds to see the ridiculous "openings" in the roof which are not at all air-tight; you need one more second to notice that the space is so limited; one second to realize that there is no heating system, no evacuation system and no trace whatsoever of it; a few seconds to see that the doors are inward-opening (!) which means that, if bodies were there, you could not even open those doors; a few seconds to see that walls had been removed in order to make the place look more important, etc. I know of nothing so stupid as those alleged gas chambers that you can visit everywhere, including Struthof-Natzweiler which you visited and Sachsenhausen which you did not visit and where you can find exactly the same type of Leichenkeller which in Birkenau is called Gas Chamber! Excuse me, I know something more stupid: it is Treblinka.

I told you that my worst enemies were the Jewish organizations and I gave you many proofs of their impudent activities which aim to protect what I consider, after so much research, as a historical lie. You looked surprised. In your opinion, it seems that the Jewish organizations are not in the forefront of the repression of revisionism! Let me tell you that your surprise is surprising. Those organi- zations consider the problem of revisionism exactly as you consider it. For them, as well as for you, revisionism is a perverted form of antisemitism and, therefore, they fight against that form of antisemitism. What do you expect? That they would stop fighting antisemitism?

I asked you: "Did you ever ask yourself or your students if the Jews had some responsibility in antisemitism, as Bernard Lazare dared to say?" And your answer was: "No"!!! Is it wise or scientific not to ask that kind of question?

I wish FOR YOUR SAKE that the revisionists were antisemites. That would mean that they were inspired by passion. As you know, passion makes you say silly things.

When you asked to come and visit me in Vichy, I immediately accepted because I believe in human contacts. But I am afraid I was wrong.

Best wishes,

R. Faurisson

P.S. I told you something like three times that I do not believe in the "conspiracy theory" or, as you put it, in the "sinister conspiratorial forces" theory. I gave you my reasons and I called this theory "childish". As a matter of fact, Jews are prone to believe in that theory, which they find satisfactory when it applies to antisemitism and absurd when it is invoked by antisemites.

http://www.vho.org/aaargh/engl/FaurisArch/RF890628.html